Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/824,973	TILLOTSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VAN H. NGUYEN	2194	

All Participants:

(1) *Van H. Nguyen*.

(2) *Calvin B. Ward* .

Status of Application: *Now allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *27 February 2009*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
possible 101

Claims discussed:
1 and 5-7

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant authorizes the exminer to amend Claims 1 and 5-7 as presented in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VAN H NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)